

Time-Symmetrization and Isotropization of Stiff-Fluid Kantowski-Sachs Universes

Mariusz P. Dąbrowski

*Institute of Physics, University of Szczecin,
Wielkopolska 15, 70-451 Szczecin, Poland*

Abstract

It is shown that growing-entropy stiff-fluid Kantowski-Sachs universes become time-symmetric (if they start with time-asymmetric phase) and isotropize. Isotropization happens without any inflationary era during the evolution since there is no cosmological term here. It seems that this approach is an alternative to inflation since the universe gets bigger and bigger approaching 'flatness'.

short title: time-symmetrization and isotropization

PACS numbers:04.20.Jb,98.80Hw

1 INTRODUCTION

Recently, we have considered some properties of cyclic closed universes under the assumption that the total entropy of the universe increases from cycle to cycle [1]. The growing entropy universes were first investigated by Tolman [2] and developed qualitatively by Zeldovich and Novikov [3]. The result is that the entropy growth forces the universe to become bigger and bigger in size and its total volume grows, making the universe 'flat'. It seems that it is a kind of non-inflationary solution of the standard cosmology problem [4].

It has been shown that positive cosmological constant Kantowski-Sachs universes admit the inflationary phase during which they isotropize, i.e., the shear decays exponentially towards zero [5, 6]. On the other hand, even some inhomogeneous models homogenize during the positive cosmological-constant-driven inflation [7]. So, in general, the universe can start with a very inhomogeneous and anisotropic phase, then goes through the inflationary era, subjecting homogenization and isotropization. Finally, it becomes friedmannian and still it can be blown in order to approach 'flatness' [4].

In this paper we deal with growing entropy stiff-fluid anisotropic Kantowski-Sachs universes. The reason is that as we have shown before [1] the dust-filled Kantowski-Sachs universes do not isotropize, violating the general picture for a couple of models. We will prove that the isotropization is the case for stiff-fluid Kantowski-Sachs models. We have not considered any exact inhomogeneous models so far.

2 GROWING ENTROPY UNIVERSES

The Kantowski-Sachs metric reads as [8, 9, 10]

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - X^2(t)dr^2 - Y^2(t) \left[d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \right], \quad (1)$$

where X and Y are the scale factors, and the field equations for closed models are (p is the pressure and ϱ is the energy density)

$$2\frac{\dot{X}\dot{Y}}{XY} + \frac{1 + \dot{Y}^2}{Y^2} = \varrho, \quad (2)$$

$$2\frac{\ddot{Y}}{Y} + \frac{1 + \dot{Y}^2}{Y^2} = -p, \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{X}}{X} + \frac{\ddot{Y}}{Y} + \frac{\dot{X}\dot{Y}}{XY} = -p. \quad (4)$$

For stiff-fluid source of matter $p = \varrho$, and the second law of thermodynamics for adiabatic expansion $d(\varrho V) + pdV = 0$ reads as

$$p = \varrho = \frac{M}{X^2 Y^4} = \frac{M}{V^2}, \quad (5)$$

with $M = \text{const.}$, and V is the volume. One can easily show that the constant M is strictly related to the growth of entropy. From the second law of thermodynamics we have $TdS = d(\varrho V) + pdV$. The Eq. (5) can be rewritten to give $\varrho V = M/V$ and we may put this into the second law to obtain $TdS = d(M/V) + pdV = dM/V$. Since both T and V are positive, then for increasing values of the constant M the entropy grows as well. However, in such a case we deal with stiff-fluid particles entropy rather than with standard dust particles or radiation entropy. Also, in our approach there is no entropy growth within cycles. The idea is to add some entropy at the beginning of each cycle. In fact, the results of this approach are similar to the standard imperfect

fluid approach admitting bulk viscosity [11, 12, 13], but the mathematics is not so complicated. The parametric solution of the field equations (2)-(4) is [14]

$$X(\eta) = b(|\tan(\eta + \eta_0)|)^{\pm\sqrt{1-\frac{M}{a^2}}}, \quad (6)$$

$$Y(\eta) = \frac{a}{b} \sin 2(\eta + \eta_0) (|\tan(\eta + \eta_0)|)^{\pm\sqrt{1-\frac{M}{a^2}}}, \quad (7)$$

$$t(\eta) = 2 \int Y(\eta) d\eta, \quad (8)$$

and a, b, η_0 are constants. Let us define a new constant

$$c = \sqrt{1 - \frac{M}{a^2}}, \quad (9)$$

and

$$0 \leq c < 1$$

necessarily, which means that the constants M and a are related by the condition (i.e., the growth of entropy is limited)

$$a^2 \geq M.$$

If $c = 0$ ($M = a^2$) the models are time-symmetric and both the initial and final singularities are the so-called barrel singularities [15] (i.e. $X = b = \text{const.}$ and $Y = 0$ at them). If $c \neq 0$ the models do not possess time-symmetry and there is one point singularity $X = Y = 0$ and one cigar singularity $Y = 0, X = \infty$.

It seems that due to an absolute value of $\tan(\eta + \eta_0)$ which appears in (6)-(7), the models cannot be considered as passing through one to another cycle. It is because we cannot connect suitable values of $\sin(\dots)$ and $\tan(\dots)$ in different consecutive ranges of η , unless we take negative values of the constant a in negative $Y(\eta)$ cycles. The other possibility to connect different cycles is to take only the time-symmetric case $c = 0$ into account, but as we shall see it is not as interesting as the time-asymmetric

case. If $c = 0$, then from (6)-(8) we have

$$X(\eta) = b, \quad (10)$$

$$Y(\eta) = \pm \frac{M^{\frac{1}{2}}}{b} \sin 2(\eta + \eta_0), \quad (11)$$

$$t(\eta) = t_0 \pm \frac{M^{\frac{1}{2}}}{b} \cos 2(\eta + \eta_0), \quad (12)$$

where the plus sign refers to odd cycles and the minus sign refers to even cycles. It is easy to notice that Eqs. (10)-(12) can be deparametrized to give

$$X_n(t) = b, \quad (13)$$

$$Y_n(t) = \frac{M^{\frac{1}{2}}}{b} \sqrt{1 - \frac{b^2}{M}(t - t_{0n})^2}, \quad (14)$$

where the index n refers to the n -th cycle with a suitable constant t_{0n} . Assuming that the entropy grows in each cycle of a factor ω , i.e.,

$$M_{n+1} = \omega M_n (\omega > 1), \quad (15)$$

one can calculate that

$$t_n = 2 \frac{M^{\frac{1}{2}}}{b} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \quad (16)$$

is the cosmic time at the end of the n -th cycle,

$$Y_{n,max} = \omega^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{M_1^{\frac{1}{2}}}{b} \quad (17)$$

is the maximum value of the scale factor Y ,

$$t_{n,max} = 2 \frac{M^{\frac{1}{2}}}{b} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \omega^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \right) + \frac{\omega^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{2} \right] \quad (18)$$

is the moment of maximum expansion, while

$$t_{0n} = 2 \frac{M^{\frac{1}{2}}}{b} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \omega^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \right) + \frac{\omega^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{2} \right] \quad (19)$$

fixes the constant within the n -th cycle.

The volume in the $(n + 1)$ -th cycle is

$$V_{n+1}(t) = X_{n+1}Y_{n+1}^2 = \frac{M_{n+1}}{b} \left[1 - \frac{b^2}{M_{n+1}}(t - t_{0n+1})^2 \right], \quad (20)$$

so it grows in subsequent cycles and the energy density, according to (5),

$$\varrho_{n+1}(t) = \frac{M_{n+1}}{V_{n+1}^2} = \frac{b^2}{M_{n+1}} \left[1 - \frac{b^2}{M_{n+1}}(t - t_{0n+1})^2 \right]^{-2} \quad (21)$$

decreases, similarly as in the Friedmann universes. The anisotropy for the time-symmetric case ($c = 0$) is

$$\sigma_{n+1}(t) = \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{\dot{Y}_{n+1}}{Y_{n+1}} = \mp \frac{b^2}{\sqrt{3}M_{n+1}} \frac{t - t_{0n+1}}{1 - \frac{b^2}{M_{n+1}}(t - t_{0n+1})^2}, \quad (22)$$

which in the limit $M_{n+1} \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$\sigma_{n+1}(t) \approx \mp \frac{b^2}{\sqrt{3}M_{n+1}} (t - t_{0n+1}). \quad (23)$$

This means that the anisotropy decreases in subsequent cycles and the same does the expansion

$$\Theta_{n+1}(t) = \pm 2 \frac{\dot{Y}_{n+1}}{Y_{n+1}} \approx \frac{b^2}{\sqrt{3}M_{n+1}} (t - t_{0n+1}). \quad (24)$$

From (24)-(25) it follows that the shear-to-expansion rate is constant

$$\frac{\sigma}{\Theta} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}. \quad (25)$$

In the case $c \neq 0$, we can think about matching different cycles with no regard to the fact that every second cycle will not be similar and that the following cycles will be the mirror images of the previous ones. However, the basic feature seems to be consistent. Whenever the final singularity is a cigar, the following initial singularity is a cigar as well. Whenever the final singularity is a point, the following initial singularity is a point either.

First, let us take the upper signs in powers of (6)-(8), and choose the constant a such that $a > 0$ for odd cycles and $a < 0$ for even cycles. Then in the first cycle we have

$$X_1(\eta) = b (|\tan(\eta + \eta_0)|)^{c_1}, \quad (26)$$

$$Y_1(\eta) = \frac{a}{b} \sin 2(\eta + \eta_0) (|\tan(\eta + \eta_0)|)^{-c_1}, \quad (27)$$

$$t_1(\eta) = 2 \int Y_1(\eta) d\eta, \quad (28)$$

with

$$c_1 = \sqrt{1 - \frac{M_1}{a^2}} > 0, \quad (29)$$

so, in the second cycle

$$X_2(\eta) = b (|\tan(\eta + \eta_0)|)^{c_2}, \quad (30)$$

$$Y_2(\eta) = \frac{a}{b} \sin 2(\eta + \eta_0) (|\tan(\eta + \eta_0)|)^{-c_2}, \quad (31)$$

$$t_2(\eta) = 2 \int Y_2(\eta) d\eta, \quad (32)$$

and

$$c_2 = \sqrt{1 - \frac{M_2}{a^2}} > 0, \quad (33)$$

where according to (9)

$$c_2 < c_1,$$

which means that values of $X(\eta)$ increase together with M in each subsequent cycle in the interval $0 \leq X < b$ and decrease in the interval $b < X < \infty$. In the limit of large $M \rightarrow a^2$ the values of X becomes constant and the model reaches the time-symmetric case $c = 0$. If $c < 0$ the situation changes in the way that the first cycle begins with a cigar singularity $X = \infty, Y = 0$ instead of a point singularity $X = Y = 0$, i.e., the whole picture translates of $\frac{\pi}{2}$ but the physical properties remain the same. On

the other hand, if the constant M grows, $Y(\eta)$ increases in each subsequent cycle in the ranges where its values are smaller (i.e., where $0 < Y < \frac{a}{b}$), and decreases in the ranges where it has maxima and its values are larger (i.e., where $Y > \frac{a}{b}$), giving finally time-symmetric picture with $c = 0$.

From the above we can conclude a very important remark that the growth of entropy forces the universe to become time-symmetric, even if it was not like that at the beginning.

The volume in the $(n + 1)$ -th cycle is ($\eta_0 = 0$, c_{n+1} is given by (9) with M_{n+1})

$$V_{n+1}(\eta) = X_{n+1} Y_{n+1}^2 = \frac{a^2}{b} \sin^2 2\eta (|\tan \eta|)^{-c_{n+1}}, \quad (34)$$

and it behaves very similar to the behavior of $Y(\eta)$. The anisotropy

$$\sigma_{n+1}(\eta) = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{1}{2Y} \left(\frac{X_{,\eta}}{X} - \frac{Y_{,\eta}}{Y} \right) = \pm \frac{b}{a\sqrt{3}} \frac{|\tan \eta|^{c_{n+1}}}{\sin 2\eta} \left[\frac{c_{n+1}}{\cos^2 \eta |\tan \eta|} - \cot 2\eta \right] \quad (35)$$

decreases consecutively because of the decrease of c , until it reaches $c = 0$, and still decrease in the time-symmetric case (22). Finally, the expansion

$$\Theta_{n+1}(\eta) = \pm \frac{1}{2Y} \left(\frac{X_{,\eta}}{X} - \frac{Y_{,\eta}}{Y} \right) = \pm 2 \frac{b}{a} |\tan \eta|^{c_{n+1}} \frac{\cos 2\eta}{\sin^2 2\eta} \quad (36)$$

always decreases.

Of course the discussion of the time-asymmetric case was carried out in conformal time η and the investigation of the problem in cosmic time would require the exact integration of (8). However, from the behaviour of the time-symmetric case (13)-(14) it seems that the general picture will not be changed.

3 CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that, unlike the dust-filled models [1], the stiff-fluid Kantowski-Sachs cyclic universes isotropize under the assumption that the entropy grows from cycle to

cycle. Also, we have proved that time-asymmetry of these models gradually vanishes and the models finally become time-symmetric.

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank John Barrow for helpful discussions. A part of this work was performed during the author's stay at the Astronomy Centre, University of Sussex, UK.

References

- [1] Barrow J D and Dąbrowski M P 1995 *Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc.* **275** 850 (1995)
- [2] Tolman R C 1934 *Relativity, Thermodynamics and Cosmology* (Oxford: Clarendon Press)
- [3] Zeldovich Ya B and Novikov I 1983 *The Structure and Evolution of the Universe* (Chicago: The University of Chicago Press)
- [4] Guth A 1981 *Phys. Rev. D* **23** 347
- [5] Grøn Ø 1986 *Journ. Math. Phys.* **27** 1490
- [6] Grøn Ø and Ericson E 1987 *Phys. Lett.* **A121** 217
- [7] Tomita K 1994 *Phys. Rev.* **D48** 5634
- [8] Kantowski R and Sachs R K 1966 *Journ. Math. Phys.* **7** 443
- [9] Weber E 1984 *Journ. Math. Phys.* **25** 3279
- [10] Weber E 1985 *Journ. Math. Phys.* **26** 1308
- [11] Murphy G L 1973 *Phys. Rev. D* **8** 4231
- [12] Heller M, Klimek Z and Suszycki L 1973 *Astroph. Space Sci.* **20** 205
- [13] Heller M and Szydłowski M 1983 *Astroph. Space Sci.* **90** 327
- [14] Mimóso J and Wands D G 1995 *Preprint* gr-qc/9501039
- [15] Collins C B 1977 *Journ. Math. Phys.* **18** 2116